

Radial marginal perturbation of two-dimensional systems and conformal invariance

Loïc Turban

*Laboratoire de Physique du Solide, Université de Nancy I, Boîte Postale 239,
F54506 Vandœuvre lès Nancy CEDEX, France*

(Received 31 May 1991)

The conformal mapping $w = (L/2\pi) \ln z$ transforms the critical plane with a radial perturbation $\alpha\rho^{-y}$ into a cylinder with width L and a constant deviation $\alpha(2\pi/L)^y$ from the bulk critical point when the decay exponent y is such that the perturbation is marginal. From the known behavior of the homogeneous off-critical system on the cylinder, one may deduce the correlation functions and defect exponents on the perturbed plane. The results are supported by an exact solution for the Gaussian model.

Although conformal invariance requires, in principle, translation and rotation invariance besides global scale invariance,¹ some progress has been made in recent years concerning the behavior of critical two-dimensional systems with defects. The case of line defects with commensurate configurations and extended defects has been extensively studied,²⁻⁶ the gap-exponent relations have been verified, and towerlike spectra has been obtained for marginal perturbations decaying as a power of the distance from either a free surface,⁷ the Hilhorst-van Leeuwen model,⁸ or a line.⁹ In this work we present results on marginal radial perturbations of critical systems which are likely to be applicable to any conformally invariant model in two dimensions.

Let us consider a perturbed critical system on the plane (ρ, θ) with a free-energy density functional

$$F[\varphi] = F_c[\varphi] + \int \rho d\rho d\theta \alpha \rho^{-y} \chi(\rho, \theta), \quad (1)$$

where the deviation from the critical point in the last term decays as a power of the distance from the origin with an amplitude $\Delta_\chi(\rho) = \alpha\rho^{-y}$. Through the conformal transformation $w = (L/2\pi) \ln z$, the plane is mapped onto a cylinder (u, v) such that

$$u = (L/2\pi) \ln \rho, \quad -\infty < u < +\infty, \quad (2a)$$

$$v = L\theta/2\pi, \quad 0 \leq v < L, \quad (2b)$$

with a dilatation factor:

$$b(z) = |w'(z)|^{-1} = 2\pi\rho/L. \quad (3)$$

The radial inhomogeneity transforms according to

$$\Delta_\chi(w) = b(z)^{y_\chi} \alpha \rho^{-y} = \alpha(2\pi/L)^{y_\chi} \rho^{y_\chi - y}. \quad (4)$$

The perturbation is marginal when $y = y_\chi$ since in a global transformation α is changed into $b^{y_\chi - y} \alpha$.¹⁰ In the following we shall only consider the marginal case, assuming that conformal invariance remains valid. The deviation from criticality on the cylinder is then a constant

$$\Delta_\chi = \alpha(2\pi/L)^{y_\chi}, \quad (5)$$

preserving translation invariance in both directions. The two-point correlation function for an operator ϕ with scaling dimension x_ϕ transforms as¹

$$\langle \phi(w_1) \phi(w_2) \rangle = |w'(z_1)|^{-x_\phi} |w'(z_2)|^{-x_\phi} \langle \phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

so that the correlation function on the perturbed critical plane may be deduced from the known behavior of the system on the off-critical cylinder,¹¹

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(u_1, v_1) \phi(u_2, v_2) \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} |\langle 0 | \phi | \alpha \rangle|^2 \exp[-(E_\alpha - E_0)(u_2 - u_1) \\ &\quad + iP_\alpha(v_2 - v_1)], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where E_α and P_α are the energy and momentum eigenvalues associated with the eigenstate $|\alpha\rangle$ of the transfer operator $\underline{T} = e^{-\tau \underline{H}}$ and $|0\rangle$ is the ground state of the Hamiltonian $\underline{H}$. Collecting these results, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(\rho_1, \theta_1) \phi(\rho_2, \theta_2) \rangle &= (L/2\pi)^{2x_\phi} \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} |\langle 0 | \phi | \alpha \rangle|^2 \rho_1^{-x_\phi + (L/2\pi)(E_\alpha - E_0)} \\ &\quad \times \rho_2^{-x_\phi - (L/2\pi)(E_\alpha - E_0)} \\ &\quad \times \exp[i(L/2\pi)P_\alpha(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

When $\rho_2 \gg \rho_1$ the leading contribution is given by the lowest excited state $|\phi\rangle$ in the spectrum of the primary field ϕ . According to finite-size scaling theory,¹² the matrix element scales as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \phi | \phi \rangle &= f_\phi(\Delta_\chi, L) \\ &= L^{-x_\phi} f_\phi(L^{y_\chi} \Delta_\chi, 1) \\ &= f_\phi[(2\pi)^{y_\chi} \alpha, 1] L^{-x_\phi} \\ &= g(\alpha) L^{-x_\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

whereas the mass gap is related to the correlation length universal scaling function^{12,13}

$$\begin{aligned} E_\phi - E_0 &= \xi_\phi^{-1}(\Delta_\chi, L) = [L\xi_\phi((2\pi)^{y_\chi} \alpha, 1)]^{-1} \\ &= (2\pi/L)x_\phi(\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have introduced the perturbation-dependent quantity

$$x_\phi(\alpha) = [2\pi\xi_\phi((2\pi)^{y_\phi}\alpha, 1)]^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

which reduces to the scaling dimension of the primary field of the unperturbed system when $\alpha = 0$.¹⁴ For a scalar field, the momentum P_ϕ of the lowest excited state vanishes and the leading contribution to the two-point function on the plane reads

$$\langle \phi(\rho_1, \theta_1) \phi(\rho_2, \theta_2) \rangle \simeq g^2(\alpha) \rho_1^{-x_\phi + x_\phi(\alpha)} \rho_2^{-x_\phi - x_\phi(\alpha)} (\rho_2 \gg \rho_1), \quad (12)$$

so that $x_\phi(\alpha)$, given in Eq. (11), may be interpreted as the scaling dimension of ϕ near the defect.

In order to test these results, let us consider a perturbed Gaussian model at its critical point, with a temperaturelike radial perturbation

$$\Delta_{\varphi^2} = \alpha \rho^{-2}, \quad (13)$$

which is marginal since $y_{\varphi^2} = 2$ in this case.¹⁵ On the cylinder the free-energy functional is

$$F[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int du dv [(\nabla \varphi)^2 + \alpha(2\pi/L)^2 \varphi^2], \quad (14)$$

and the two-point correlation function $\langle \varphi(0, 0) \varphi(u, v) \rangle = g(u, v)$ satisfies the differential equation¹⁶

$$[-\nabla^2 + \alpha(2\pi/L)^2] g(u, v) = \delta(u) \delta(v), \quad (15)$$

which is easily solved through a Fourier transformation leading to

$$g(u, v) = (1/4\pi) \sqrt{\alpha} \exp[-\sqrt{\alpha}(2\pi/L)u] + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n^2 + \alpha)^{1/2} \exp[-(n^2 + \alpha)^{1/2}(2\pi/L)u] \cos[n(2\pi/L)v], \quad (16)$$

so that α must be positive, a result which is related to the fact that the low-temperature phase of the Gaussian model is ill defined.¹⁵

On the plane the free-energy functional reads

$$F[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho d\rho d\theta [(\nabla \varphi)^2 + \alpha \rho^{-2} \varphi^2], \quad (17)$$

and the two-point correlation function satisfies the equation

$$(-\nabla_1^2 + \alpha \rho_1^{-2}) g(\rho_2, \rho_1; \theta_2 - \theta_1) = 1/\rho_1 \delta(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \delta(\theta_2 - \theta_1). \quad (18)$$

The solution is obtained as a linear combination of solutions of the homogeneous equation and, with $\rho_2 > \rho_1$, reads

$$g(\rho_2, \rho_1; \theta_2 - \theta_1) = (1/4\pi) \sqrt{\alpha} \rho_1^{\sqrt{\alpha}} \rho_2^{-\sqrt{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n^2 + \alpha)^{1/2} \rho_1^{(n^2 + \alpha)^{1/2}} \rho_2^{-(n^2 + \alpha)^{1/2}} \cos[n(\theta_2 - \theta_1)]. \quad (19)$$

A comparison with Eq. (12) indicates that the defect exponent is $x_\phi(\alpha) = \sqrt{\alpha}$ since $x_\phi = x_\phi(0) = 0$ for the Gaussian model.¹⁵ This value is consistent with the expression of the first gap in Eq. (16). Furthermore, the two-point correlation functions on the cylinder and on the plane, like the differential equations, transform into one another under the change of variables given in Eq. (2) as a consequence of the conformal transformation given in Eq. (6) with $x_\phi = 0$.

For the Ising model, the thermal and magnetic defect exponents may be deduced from the known scaling functions for the gaps of the corresponding primary fields:^{17,18}

$$x_t(\alpha) = 1 + \frac{(c\alpha)^2}{2\pi^2} + O((c\alpha)^4), \quad (20a)$$

$$x_h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{c\alpha}{4\pi} + \frac{\ln 2}{4\pi^2} (c\alpha)^2 + O((c\alpha)^3), \quad (20b)$$

where c is a lattice-dependent constant taking the value 8π (Ref. 18) on the square lattice with isotropic couplings for a radial perturbation $K(\rho) - K_c = -\alpha \rho^{-1}$.

More details and extensions to other geometries are planned to be published elsewhere. Recently, a paper by R.Z. Bariev and I. Peschel was published [J. Phys. A **24**, L87 (1991)], in which the same conformal transformation is used to study a radial marginal perturbation in the Ising model, either in the bulk or at a surface. A phenomenological treatment of the critical behavior near extended defects has also been given by Bariev (Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. **94**, 374 (1988) [Sov. Phys. JETP **67**, 2170 (1988)]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to Ferenc Iglói, Malte Henkel and Bertrand Berche for useful discussions about these or related topics. The Laboratoire de Physique du Solide is Unité de Recherche Associée au CNRS No. 155.

-
- ¹ A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241**, 333 (1984); J. L. Cardy, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic, London, 1987), Vol. 11.
- ² M. Henkel and A. Patkós, Nucl. Phys. **B285**, 29 (1987); J. Phys. A **20**, 2199 (1987); **21**, L231 (1988).
- ³ M. Henkel, A. Patkós, and M. Schlottmann, Nucl. Phys. **B314**, 609 (1989).
- ⁴ M. Baake, P. Chaleson, and M. Schlottmann, Nucl. Phys. **B314**, 625 (1989).
- ⁵ H. Hinrichsen, Nucl. Phys. **B336**, 377 (1990).
- ⁶ U. Grimm, Nucl. Phys. **B340**, 633 (1990).
- ⁷ F. Iglói, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 3035 (1990); T. W. Burkhardt and F. Iglói, J. Phys. A **23**, L633 (1990); B. Berche and L. Turban, *ibid.* **23**, 3029 (1990).
- ⁸ H. J. Hilhorst and J. M. J. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1188 (1981); H. W. J. Blöte and H. J. Hilhorst, *ibid.* **51**, 2015 (1983); T. W. Burkhardt, I. Guim, H. J. Hilhorst, and J. M. J. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 1486 (1984); I. Peschel, *ibid.* **30**, 6783 (1984); C. Kaiser and I. Peschel, J. Stat. Phys. **54**, 567 (1989).
- ⁹ F. Iglói, B. Berche, and L. Turban, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1773 (1990).
- ¹⁰ R. Cordery, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 215 (1982); T. W. Burkhardt, *ibid.* **48**, 216 (1982).
- ¹¹ J. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. **51**, 659 (1979); J. L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B270**, 186 (1986).
- ¹² M. Barber, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic, London, 1983), Vol. 8.; M. Henkel, in *Finite-Size Scaling and Numerical Simulations of Statistical Systems*, edited by V. Privman (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
- ¹³ V. Privman and M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 322 (1984).
- ¹⁴ J. L. Cardy, J. Phys. A **17**, L385 (1984).
- ¹⁵ G. Toulouse and P. Pfeuty, *Introduction au Groupe de Renormalisation et à ses Applications* (Presses Universitaires, Grenoble, 1975).
- ¹⁶ A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, J. Phys. A **10**, 1927 (1977).
- ¹⁷ C. J. Hamer and M. N. Barber, J. Phys. A **14**, 241 (1981); M. Henkel, *ibid.* **20**, 995 (1987); P. Reinicke, *ibid.* **20**, 4501 (1987).
- ¹⁸ T. W. Burkhardt and I. Guim, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 1799 (1987).